

UNITED STATES TAX COURT

DOCKET NO. 18038-05L

<u>ALPHONSE MOURAD,</u>)	PETITIONER MOURAD'S MOTION TO
Petitioner)	REPLY TO THE RESPONDENT'S
v.)	FRAUDULENT AND MISLEADING
COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL)	MARCH 13, 2007 RESPONSE TO HIS
REVENUE,)	(MOURAD'S) MARCH 5, 2007
<u>Respondent</u>)	LETTER

TO: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH H. GALE

The Petitioner, Alphonse Mourad, moves this Honorable Court (Gale, J.), to offer this reply to Attorney Louise Forbes' fraudulent and misleading March 13, 2007 response to his (Mourad's) March 5, 2007 letter.

In support, Petitioner Mourad says as follows:

1. Attorney Forbes' March 13, 2007 response suggests that my illness prevented me from meeting with her on her scheduled February 23, 2007 conference date. That is not true. Attorney Forbes sent me a letter dated February 15, 2007 suggesting her availability on February 23, 2007 in her office for a conference.

2. Attorney Forbes' February 15, 2007 letter was mailed to my P.O. Box 882 in Watertown.

3. Due to a back ailment, I did not see/read her letter until the morning of February 23, 2007, and so I could not make her same day 10:00 AM conference, as I had a doctor's appointment that date, and I called Attorney Forbes to so inform her.

4. On the morning of February 23, 2007, I stopped by my Post Office Box on my way to my doctor's appointment, and saw, for the first time, Attorney Forbes' February 15, 2007 letter offering

the 10:00 A.M. scheduled conference on the same morning I had my doctor's appointment. I did call Attorney Forbes immediately, and I also informed Attorney Forbes that I would not meet with her alone, at her office, without an attorney present or with legal representation.

5. I added that, even had I seen Attorney Forbes' February 15, 2007 letter sooner, that my response would be the same, and that I would not meet with her alone.

6. I then explained to Attorney Forbes why.

7. I explained that I would not meet with an I.R.S. representative because I experienced and witnessed, first hand, that I.R.S. Attorney Teresa McLaughton made two false and fraudulent statements at the September 16, 2004 oral argument before a three-judge panel of the First Circuit Court of Appeals (Judges Torreulla, Coffin and Lynch), see attached excerpt of September 16, 2004 argument.

8. At the September 16, 2004 argument, Judge Lynch asked I.R.S. Attorney McLaughlin if there was any further income, p. 23. McLaughlin falsely stated, "odds and ends."

9. That is untrue, as I (Mourad) received a K-1 for 1999 showing an income of \$536,931 that I never received (K-1 attached). I have been levied for that income in 1999, for the amount of \$204,463.66 (the 4/13/05 I.R.S levy is attached).

10. Ms. McLaughlin assured the First Circuit that upon the sale of V&M's property in 1997, there would be no further tax liability to me (Alphonse Mourad).

11. Ms. McLaughlin also assured the First Circuit panel that the buyer, Beacon Residential Properties, obtained the tax credits in 1998, after the 1997 sale.

12. That is also not true, please see p. 23 of the argument -- the brief rebuttal by my attorney that Beacon Residential Properties did not qualify for the tax credits, without the V&M Chapter 11 Trustee Stephen Gray, joining in the One-Stop application to apply for the \$12 million tax credit.

13. As I stated in my March 5, 2007 letter, Beacon Residential Properties bought me out of my money without a single dollar out of their pocket. Now the I.R.S. is assessing me close to \$400,000 for a 1997 fraudulent tax credit transaction on a fraudulent 1999 K-1 income I never received, and a 1999 assessment in excess of \$220,000, with interest and penalties. I also said to Attorney Forbes that the main key witness for the May 21, 2007 Trial is I.R.S. Attorney Teresa McLaughlin.

14. That is why I will not meet with the I.R.S. because the I.R.S. will have to defend their own attorney.

15. I no longer trust the I.R.S., or its attorneys, and I would request that all future correspondence and motions be sent by certified mail, as I do not want my rights dismissed upon some technicalities.

16. I did not cancel the February 23, 2007 conference due to illness, but because of the short and inadequate notice and the lack of legal representation.

17. I did inform Attorney Forbes that I was putting something together for the Court that would set forth my position

and that would be my response to Attorney Forbes. My March 5, 2007 letter, with attachments, does that.

18. Had Attorney Forbes properly responded to my March 5, 2007 letter, and my request for the missing page 2 of her Motion, and acknowledged the 1995 errors, and that we would work out those errors, that would have shown good faith to me and the Court. But Attorney Forbes decided to come back, like her colleague Teresa McLaughlin, and mislead the Court with her March 13, 2007 response.

ALPHONSE MOURAD,

Alphonse Mourad
P.O. Box 882
Watertown, MA 02471
617-458-1835
Email: mourad200@hotmail.com

March 26, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alphonse Mourad, hereby certify that I have, this March 26, 2007, mailed, by Certified Mail, an original and five copies of this Motion, to:

Clerk
The United States Tax Court
Room 428
400 Second Street, NW
Washington, MA 20217,

with a request that one stamped copy be returned to me.

I further certify that I have mailed, Certified Mail, one copy of this Motion to:

Louise R. Forbes
Senior I.R.S. Attorney
Small Business/Self-Employed
Tip O'Neil Building
20 Causeway Street, Room 401
Boston, MA 02222-1061

Alphonse Mourad